

## On a Theorem of Piatetsky-Shapiro and Approximation of Multiple Integrals

By Seymour Haber and Charles F. Osgood

**Abstract.** Let  $f$  be a function of  $s$  real variables which is of period 1 in each variable, and let the integral  $I$  of  $f$  over the unit cube in  $s$ -space be approximated by

$$Q(f) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r=1}^N f(r\mathbf{x})$$

(where  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(N)$  is a point in  $s$ -space). For certain classes of  $f$ 's, defined by conditions on their Fourier coefficients, it is shown using methods of N. M. Korobov, that  $\mathbf{x}$ 's can be found for which error bounds of the form  $|I(f) - Q(f)| < K(f)N^{-p}$  will be true. However, for the class of all  $f$ 's with absolutely convergent Fourier series, it is shown that there are no  $\mathbf{x}$ 's for which a bound of the form  $|I(f) - Q(f)| = O(F(N))$  will hold, for any  $F(N)$  which approaches zero as  $N$  goes to infinity. ■

In his book *Number-Theoretic Methods of Approximate Analysis*, N. M. Korobov quotes the following result of I. I. Piatetsky-Shapiro [1]:

**THEOREM.** Let  $A_s$  denote the class of all functions of  $s$  real variables that have period 1 in each variable and have an absolutely convergent Fourier series:

$$(1) \quad f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_s = -\infty}^{\infty} c(\mathbf{m}) \exp(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{m})$$

(boldface letters denote  $s$ -tuples of real numbers;  $\exp a = e^{2\pi ia}$ ). Then for any  $f \in A_s$  and any positive integer  $N$  there is a  $\theta$  such that

$$(2) \quad \left| \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r=1}^N f(r\theta) \right| < C \frac{\log N}{N}$$

where  $C = C(f)$ .

Though Korobov takes up this theorem in connection with methods of approximate evaluation of multiple integrals, the theorem itself does not provide such a method, as  $\theta$  depends on  $f$ . The question then arises whether a  $\theta(N)$  exists which will make (2) true for all  $f \in A_s$ . We answer this in the negative; but we do show that there are  $\theta$ 's which allow a stronger statement than (2) for some considerable subsets of  $A_s$ .

We will denote the unit cube in  $s$ -dimensional Euclidean space by  $G_s$ .

**THEOREM 1.** If  $N_1, N_2, \dots$  is an increasing sequence of positive integers,  $\theta^{(1)}, \theta^{(2)}, \dots$  a sequence of  $s$ -tuples of real numbers, and  $F(n)$  any positive decreasing function such that  $F(n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then there is an  $f \in A_s$  such that

$$(3) \quad \left| \int_{G_s} f - \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{r=1}^{N_i} f(r\theta^{(i)}) \right| / F(N_i)$$

is unbounded as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .

Received May 8, 1968.

*Proof.*  $A_s$  is a Banach space, if, with the expansion (1), we define

$$\|f\| = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_s = -\infty}^{\infty} |c(\mathbf{m})|.$$

Define the linear functional  $L_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$ , by

$$L_i(f) = \frac{1}{F(N_i)} \left( \int_{G_s} f - \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{r=1}^{N_i} f(r\theta^{(i)}) \right).$$

If the theorem does not hold, then  $|L_i(f)| \leq C(f), i = 1, 2, \dots$  for every  $f \in A_s$ , where  $C(f)$  is some real number. Then by the Banach-Steinhaus Theorem (see, e.g., [2]) there is a constant  $K$  such that

$$(4) \quad |L_i(f)| \leq K\|f\|$$

for all  $i$  and all  $f \in A_s$ . But if we choose  $\mathbf{m}^{(i)}$ , for each  $i$ , in such a manner that  $\mathbf{m}^{(i)} \cdot \theta^{(i)}$  is within  $1/2N_i^2$  of an integer (and the components of  $\mathbf{m}^{(i)}$  are not all zero), and set  $f_i(\mathbf{x}) = \exp(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{m}^{(i)})$ , then

$$|L_i(f_i)| = \frac{1}{N_i F(N_i)} \left| \sum_{r=1}^{N_i} \exp(r^{(i)} \cdot m^{(i)}) \right| \geq \frac{1}{2F(N_i)},$$

contradicting (4).

If

$$D = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_s = -\infty}^{\infty} d(\mathbf{m})$$

is a convergent ( $s$ -tuple) series of positive constants, we shall denote by  $A_s(D)$  the subset of  $A_s$  consisting of those functions having expansions (1) satisfying

$$(5) \quad |c(\mathbf{m})| \leq Cd(\mathbf{m}), \quad -\infty < m_1, \dots, m_s < \infty$$

for some number  $C$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $D$  is any convergent  $s$ -tuple series of positive numbers, and  $N$  is a prime number, then there are integers  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s$  between 0 and  $N - 1$  such that*

$$(6) \quad \left| \int_{G_s} f - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r=1}^N f\left(r \frac{a_1}{N}, r \frac{a_2}{N}, \dots, r \frac{a_s}{N}\right) \right| < \frac{K(f)}{N}$$

for all  $f \in A_s(D)$ .

*Proof.* Using the expansion (1), we see that  $\int_{G_s} f = c(0, \dots, 0)$  while

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r=1}^N f\left(\frac{r}{N} \mathbf{a}\right) &= \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_s = -\infty}^{\infty} c(\mathbf{m}) \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r=1}^N \exp\left(\frac{r}{N} \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{m}\right) \right) \\ &= c(0, \dots, 0) + \sum'_{m_1, \dots, m_s = -\infty} c(\mathbf{m}) \delta_N(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{m}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta_N(n)$  is 1 if  $N$  divides  $n$  and is 0 otherwise, and the prime on the sum indicates that the term with  $m_1 = m_2 = \dots = m_s = 0$  is omitted. Therefore

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \int_{G_s} f - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r=1}^N f\left(\frac{r}{N} \mathbf{a}\right) \right| &\leq \sum'_{m_1, \dots, m_s = -\infty} |c(\mathbf{m})| \delta_N(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{m}) \\ &\leq C \sum'_{m_1, \dots, m_s = -\infty} d(\mathbf{m}) \delta_N(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{m}). \end{aligned}$$

Let us now look at the average, for given  $N$  and  $\mathbf{m}$ , of  $\delta_N(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{m})$  over all  $s$ -tuples  $\mathbf{a}$  of integers from 0 to  $N - 1$ . Choosing a  $j$  such that  $m_j \neq 0$ , we see that for any choice of  $a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_s$  there is just one value of  $a_j$  making  $\delta = 1$ —since  $N$  is a prime—and  $N - 1$  values for which  $\delta = 0$ . Thus for each  $\mathbf{m}$ ,

$$\text{av } \delta_N(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{m}) = 1/N.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{0 \leq a_1, \dots, a_s \leq N-1} \left| \int_{G_s} f - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r=1}^N f\left(\frac{r}{N} \mathbf{a}\right) \right| \\ \leq \text{av } C \sum'_{m_1, \dots, m_s = -\infty}^{\infty} d(\mathbf{m}) \delta_N(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{m}) \\ \leq \frac{C}{N} \sum'_{m_1, \dots, m_s = -\infty}^{\infty} d(\mathbf{m}), \end{aligned}$$

proving the theorem.

This result has consequences for the numerical integration of functions satisfying certain stronger conditions. If, following Korobov, we set

$$\bar{m} = \max(|m|, 1), \quad \|\mathbf{m}\| = \bar{m}_1 \cdot \bar{m}_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \bar{m}_s,$$

and denote by  $E_s^\alpha$ , for  $\alpha > 1$ , the set of functions having an expansion (1) that satisfies  $|c(\mathbf{m})| \leq C(f) \|\mathbf{m}\|^{-\alpha}$ , we have

COROLLARY 1. *For each prime number  $P$  and for any positive number  $\epsilon$  there are integers  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s$  such that for any  $f \in E_s^\alpha$*

$$(9) \quad \left| \int_{G_s} f - \frac{1}{P} \sum_{r=1}^P f\left(\frac{r}{P} \mathbf{a}\right) \right| < \frac{K(f)}{P^{\alpha-\epsilon}}.$$

*Proof.* Set  $\beta = \max(\alpha - \epsilon, 1)$  and set

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_s = -\infty}^{\infty} \|\mathbf{m}\|^{-\alpha/\beta} \exp(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{x});$$

and let  $\mathbf{a}$  be the  $s$ -tuple of Theorem 2. Since  $\sum t^\beta \leq (\sum t)^\beta$  whenever  $\beta \geq 1$  and the  $t$ 's are nonnegative, the quantity

$$\sum'_{m_1, \dots, m_s = -\infty}^{\infty} |c(\mathbf{m})| \delta_P(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{m})$$

for  $f$  is no greater than  $C(f)$  times the  $\beta$ th power of the same quantity for  $g$ ; and the latter is less than or equal to  $K(g)/P$ .

Korobov obtains a sharper result than this; where we have  $P^{-\alpha+\epsilon}$  in (9) he has  $P^{-\alpha} \log^\beta P$  for certain values of  $\beta$ . If we further restrict the class of functions we obtain a result that does not follow directly from Korobov's theorems:

Let  $L_s^\alpha$ , for  $\alpha > 1$ , be the class of all functions having an expansion (1) that satisfies

$$|c(\mathbf{m})| \leq C(\|\mathbf{m}\| \log^{1+\epsilon} \|\mathbf{m}\|)^{-\alpha}$$

for some  $C = C(f)$  and  $\epsilon = \epsilon(f) > 0$ . Then we have, by a proof similar to that of the above corollary,

COROLLARY 2. For each prime number  $P$  there is a set of integers  $a_1, \dots, a_s$  such that for any  $f \in L_s^\alpha$

$$\left| \int_{G_s} f - \frac{1}{P} \sum_{r=1}^P f\left(\frac{r}{P} \mathbf{a}\right) \right| < \frac{K(f)}{P^\alpha}.$$

National Bureau of Standards  
Washington, D. C. 20234

1. N. M. KOROBOV, *Number-Theoretic Methods of Approximate Analysis*, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1963, p. 85. (Russian) MR 28 #716.
2. G. F. SIMMONS, *Introduction to Topology and Modern Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965, p. 239.